Application No. Applicant(s) 09/842.082 LEE ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 2617 Usha Raman All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Usha Raman. (3) David Oren. (4)_____ (2) Christopher Kelley. Date of Interview: 15 February 2006. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c)⊠ Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: _____. Identification of prior art discussed: of record Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \square N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: . . . (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. Applicants and the examiners discossed the differences between a "TV" and a TV connected to a compiter, Applicants noted that the present claims our directed to a "TV" not the "system". The examiner's will conduct a 1 h a forther coarch

CHRIS KELLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
EXAMEDITACION OF THE CONTROL OF THE CONT

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.